1111111		RECEIVED
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		A PERSON OF PERSON IN THE PERS
1	Zames and Jerone Briks	JAN 0 8 2019
100000	2861 State Highway 9	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT FERGUS FALLS, MINNESOTA
	Breckennidge, MN. 56520	
A COLUMN	LINTTED STATES DESTRECT C	ONOT
	没	The state of the s
2	DESTRICT OF MINNESOT	Angeles and the state of the st
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
A STATE OF	James Boils, and, Jenome Briks, As isdividual	
Name of Street, Street	Maiskil	
	To be Par	vided by Clerk of the Court
	- Vs- Case Not	19cv44 wmw/LIB
		<u></u>
A MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF TH	Smith Strege, Fredericksen, Butts &	1987 (1997) - 1984 - 1985 - 19
Sat seed	Clark, Ltd d/b/a Smith & Strenge, Ltd	Thespected
A STATE OF		LANGE 28, USC \$ 1331
A Control of	PIR T	
The state of the s	Li etendontia	JAMAND FOR JURYTREAL
1000		
	Complain	
Sec. of		
1	Company Plantilles James and Jemme	hi especificition of ad while
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Comes now, Paintiff's James and Jerome Proper Person Complainting of Defendanti Smith & Clark Ltdr Wb/a Smith & Strene Ltd a	Strong Franciskan Butt
	Traffic It 200 Company or paragram acres	10, 1 CT C IN COL
- Follow	THE CLANK LATON IN 6/01 SMITH O Strewer Ltd o	not become the smith and

for Plantiffi Cause of action Plaistiff's alleges the following:

	1	•	_
The same	1	1.0	- 136
JURISDICTED	N.	UNFI	 ICNUL

- 1.) Plaintités James and Jerome Briks are residents of Wilkind County Minnesota:

2) Defendants, Smith, Strege, Fredericken, Butts & Clark Ltd, d/b/a, Smith & Strene, Ltd and Richard E.T. Smith, are all residents of Wahpeton North Dakata, which is it's print-ipal place of business is in North Dakata

3) This action is a civil action of which this court has original Junishish under 28 U.S.C. \$ 1331 and is one which was remove from the Lower Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$ 1441(C) in that this is a civil action arising under the Constitution laws or treatises of the United States.

Li) This court has supplemental jurisdiction over the related State law Claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. & 1362 as they are So related to Claims in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution

5) Yeave it appropriate in the mosticial Southern District of the Count pursuant to 28 USC, Et 1393(b)(2) and (C), 1441 (a); and LR [TAb-1]

- 6.) Defendants that are hamed in this action are attorneys or Languer in a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Lorth Dakata, with its principal place of business in North Dakata
- 7.) This complaint contains allegation that behaviours violated Plaintiffs tourteenth Amendment Due Process and Equal Protection rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution, Complaint Seeks general damages in Excess of \$10,000.00, And Excess of \$10,000.00, And Dunitive damages in excess of \$20,000,000,000,000 and attorney's fees and costs.

GENERAL ALLEGATION

8.) Plaintiff's James Briks and Jerome Briks are siblings of Donald Briks, who past away on September 9, 2010, and before he passed...

Defendant MK. Smith drafted the trust and Donald Conteved most of his property to this trust. Defendant MR Smith also prepared Quitclaims Deeds for the purpose of a load that was taken out by Farm Credit Services, Davald agreed to this transfer of real estate into his revocable Trust which he in turn, Mortgaged in Favor of Farm Credit Services to Support the lending he was pursuing

Plaintiff alleges that the detendants tailed to draw up any written a greenent between the Plaintiff's our Donald Briks to detail the intentions of the parties that Plaintiff's Should remain the actual owners of their Mainth also Alleges that Protection, when they aloud some other person other than an attorney employee or agent with the Defendanti law time attached copies at an document entitled Exhibit A, to the Legal documents that were supose be submitted for Legal purposes. The pileund Property was error transferred because of the direct action of the defearments! tched to the defendant's actions because Plannith's is the bendericiaries at the interest in the property. Defendant after all the above decovered that they had a contlict of interest and referred the extire matter to Whereas is 2018, Philitiff discovered that you intrimation and belief all action to ken by the detendants were in furtherance of his/her duties as an Antongy that was representing the Maintillia property, because of defendants actial Plaintiff has , and isvestments that could have been made with those funds, Thereas, defordants conduct was extreme and outrageous that Violated Plankfis constitutional rights and Cause harm to Plankfish.

(4.)

	FERST	CLAT	m for	. RELLI	E.F.	echterature/lin
7	Loitaloi	OF DUE	Process	and Ear	la la la tec	Lalt

9.) Plaintiff's repeats and realleges paragraphs (1) through (8) and by this reference incorporates the same herein as though set forth in full.

Dilupon information and belief the actions of detendants as alleged above constitutional Amended Rights, = including but plot limited to united States Constitution dumber, I'll Die Process and Equal Protections.

II) Mointiffs how Suffered injury by the Violations of one or more of the above - cited constitution smeldments and is therefore exhibted to actual damages and any puritive damages which the tacks may warrent

12) As a direct and proximate result of the action of Detendants the Waintiffs has suffed amages in and amount in excess of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, #10,000,000]

13) As a direct and proximate result of the action of Defendants, for Special Dangges, in uniquous in example in excess of the Athousand however [A 10,000,000]

	1'1) As a further and alrect result of the defendants action Plaintiff is entitled to limitive or Exemplary damages and reasest a set amount of Thirty McUEON DOWNES, \$20,000.000.00
**************************************	entitled to luntive or Exemplary damages and request a set amount
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of, lukuty mcutosi bounes, 1 20,000,000,00
į.	
	Walling when prove of west blooms to the considering the control of the constraint
	15.) As a further and direct proximate result of the action of Defendants Plantiff's may have to cetain the services of and Altronomy to prosecute this action and is entitled to reasonable attorney tees and cost of suit thereby
	PRAYER FOR RELEEF
	CAYER FOL SULET
	Lilterefore Plantiff pray for judgment against believants as to ! lows:
<u></u>	
	1) For general damages in excessor \$ 10,000,00,
	2) For Special damages is express of \$ 10,000,00
The state of the s	3, Punitive or Exemplany damages in Excess of [120,000,000,00]
	4) Athoney fees and cost of Suit, and any other relief this Court
	Sep Lit.
	Lespectfully Sylomitted
	James 1 Day
<u> </u>	Lever to
	Extroper level
	2861 State Highway 9
	Breckennidge MS 56520